Name	Date	Period

# CHAPTER (10) Test

DIR	RECTIONS: Write the appropriate word or phrase in the blank.
1.	is anything that establishes a fact or gives us reason to
	believe something.
2.	is the process of thinking and understanding and
	drawing conclusions about evidence.
3.	is a system of rules to help you reason and think correctly.
4.	Anyone who argues from specific instances to a generalization is using
	reasoning.
5.	A allows you to analyze a "typical" example in detail
	so that you can draw general conclusions.
6.	When we reason by, we draw conclusions about a
	situation based on physical evidence.
7.	We illustrate by when we compare something unfamiliar
	with something familiar.
8.	reasoning moves from generalizations to a specific
	instance.
9.	are the "do not's" of logic.
10.	A is a faulty argument that occurs because the sample
	is too small or is not representative.
11.	evidence only suggests a conclusion; it does not prove it.
12.	If two events are related, one caused the other. If they
	are, they merely occur together.
13.	A compares two things that are not really the same.

Name	7/!!=	Date	Period	
4. Speak	ers who attempt to dive	ert attention from the matter at ha		
		occurs when your argume	ent assumes that what you	are
	to prove is true.			
IRECTIO	ONS: In the blank write	e T if the statement is true and F	if the statement is false.	• • •
16.	You need one argume	ent to make a complete presentati	on.	
17.	To vary your convicti expedient, but it is un	ions and beliefs simply to please nethical.	the audience may be	
18.	A premise is a genera	alization.		
10				
19.	Mark Twain once said	d, "When you throw dirt, you lose	ground."	
20.	Circular reasoning is s	o the following		
20.	Circular reasoning is so	sound reasoning.	•••••••••	••
20.  (RECTIO	Circular reasoning is so	sound reasoning.	•••••••••	••
20.  (RECTIO	Circular reasoning is so	sound reasoning.	•••••••••	••• - -
20.	Circular reasoning is some of the sound in t	sound reasoning.  to the following.  Inductive reasoning and two examples.	ples of unsound inductive	···
20.	Circular reasoning is some of the sound in t	sound reasoning.	ples of unsound inductive	•••  
20.	Circular reasoning is some of the sound in t	sound reasoning.  to the following.  Inductive reasoning and two examples.	ples of unsound inductive	•••
20.	Circular reasoning is some of the sound in t	sound reasoning.  to the following.  Inductive reasoning and two examples.	ples of unsound inductive	

vaine	Date Period
23. Explai	n the potential flaws of reasoning by sign and of overusing circumstantial evidence
•	
(0.000)	
24 Explai	n the role of ethics in audience adaptation.
Ji. Dapiai	in the 1010 of white in addition while the control of the control
0.00	
25. Give o	one example of a true syllogism and one of a fallacious one.
	<del>van ander 17</del> 0. garantet 1909 - 1917 - Burel CHANA - F. T.

# CHAPTER (10) ANSWER KEY

#### **New Speech Terms**

- 1. c
- 2. i
- 3. o
- 4. 1
- 5. b
- 6. r
- 7. d
- 8. a
- 9. g
- 10. j
- 11. s
- 12. h
- 13. f
- 14. q
- 15. m
- 16. p
- 17. k
- 18. e
- 19. n

## **General Vocabulary**

- 1. expedient
- 2. incorrigible
- 3. rife
- 4. distortion
- 5. rebuffed
- 6. rigorous
- 7. integrate
- 8. degraded
- 9. segregation
- 10. inverse
- 11. internship
- 12. systematic
- 13. stringent
- 14. manipulate
- 15. systematic
- 16. segregation

#### Things to Remember

- 1. evidence, reasoning
- 2. sign
- 3. post hoc
- 4. statistics
- Adlai Stevenson
- 6. circular

- 7. logic, reasoning
- 8. sacrificed
- 9. ethical
- 10. Salust

#### Important Concepts

- Inductive reasoning involves arguing from specific instances to general statements. A special type of inductive reasoning is the case study, which allows us to analyze a "typical" example in great detail so that you can draw general conclusions. Another type is reasoning by sign, in which we draw conclusions about a given situation based on physical evidence. One problem with reasoning by sign is that the signs (circumstantial evidence) may lead us to a wrong conclusion. Another method of inductive reasoning is the analogy, an illustration in which the characteristics of a familiar object or event are used to explain or describe the characteristics of a less familiar object or event. Analogies can be false if they try to compare dissimilar things.
- 2. Deductive reasoning moves from generalizations to a specific instance. A syllogism is an example of deductive reasoning. A syllogism may not be universally true. The premise (or generalization) may be false as well.
- 3. The speaker should never abandon convictions to say what the audience wants to hear. A speaker has an obligation to be highly skilled in the use of logical reasoning. One must recognize half-truths and untruths so that those who misuse the power of speech cannot succeed. One must give the audience the truth, and the information it most needs. One must never present false evidence.

# Reasoning by Sign

- 1-2. Answers will vary
- 3-4. Sample answers might include:

Someone else might have been driving Christina's car, which might also have been stolen. Many people are the same size and have similar voices.

Even if Joe exhibits behaviors that indicate he doesn't care, any number of other things might cause the behavior: insecurity, illness, or worry, for example.

Not all policemen are unethical just because a few might be. The statement is a hasty generalization.

#### **Reasoning by Analogy**

Answers will vary, but might include the following:

- 1. The parent provides a protective shell around the pearl, or the child. The child grows from a small "speck of sand" into a beautiful "pearl" under the parent's guidance and care.
- 2. Instead of "gun-slinging" politics is "mud-slinging." In politics there are bad guys and good guys—and everyone tries to wear the white hat. The hero wins the heart of the girl (the American public). The election is a lot like the shoot-out at OK corral.
- 3. Both are juicy and "hot." Lovers have attacks of fervor. They can't seem to get enough of each other as long as the "attack" lasts.

# **Deductive Reasoning**

- 1. Leith must have passed the ACT.
  True
- 2. Rayne must have a D average. False: Rayne may be on probation for another reason.
- 3. Chris has had a car wreck. False: Not all teenagers have car wrecks.
- 4. You must travel.
- 5. Math II is harder than Math I. False: Not all believe algebra is harder than geometry.

# Recognizing Fallacies in Reasoning

- 1. B, F
- 2. E
- 3. F

- 4. C
- 5. D
- 6. A
- 7. C
- 8. D
- 9. B
- 10. A
- 11. C
- 12. B
- 13. A, C. F
- 14. C
- 15. A

#### **Playing with Numbers**

- 1. The film is not the only factor, which makes a good photograph. The photographer's skill is a far more important factor.
- 2. Perhaps so, at 3:00 a.m. in the morning on Tuesday once every other week. And then only if you stay over Saturday night and don't travel during a black-out period!
- 3. Probably every doctor would say that any toothpaste reduces cavities because any toothpaste helps keep teeth clean. The claim provides no comparison with other brands that might do a better job.
- 4. How long did they keep the weight off? How healthy was the program?
- 5. They preferred your bread to what? To month old crumbs?
- Perhaps he makes bad errors of other types. Perhaps someone else rebounds twice as often or has three times the assists.

# Bad Reasoning Costs Taxpayers Billions!

1. They compared animals to humans, assuming that animals react the same as humans. They did not keep the dosage consistent between animals and humans. They ignored the possibility that chemicals that did not harm animals might still harm humans. They did not keep in mind other factors that might have caused animal illness—confinement, for example.



### **Ethics in Speaking**



Answers will vary, but should hold to the tenet that the speaker should stand up for what is right and not what is expedient.

#### **Chapter 10 Test**

- 1. evidence
- 2. reasoning
- 3. logic
- 4. inductive
- 5. case study
- 6. sign
- 7. analogy
- 8. deductive
- 9. fallacies
- 10. hasty generalization
- 11. circumstantial
- 12. causally, correlated
- 13. false analogy
- 14. ignoring the question
- 15. begging the question
- 16. F
- 17. T
- 18. T
- 19. **F**
- 20. F

- 21. Answers will vary, but will probably include errors in reasoning by sign, by case study, and by analogy.
- 22. Answers will vary, but will probably include errors in reasoning by faulty syllogism or false premise.
- 23. Signs do not prove any sort of causal relationship, merely a likelihood of an occurrence. They seldom provide positive proof.
- 24. Speakers should tell the truth even when it is not expedient and when the audience does not want to hear it. They need to have good reasoning skills so that they can recognize faults in reasoning themselves. They should never present false evidence.
- 25. Answers will vary.